

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,933	01/29/2004	Morio Ishizaki	57454-999	1862
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			WALKER, KEITH D	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
		·	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/765,933	ISHIZAKI, MORIO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Keith Walker	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status .	:	
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 ħ 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under ħ 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	• •
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are withdred 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	·	,
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square edrawing(s) be held in abeyaction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. Its have been received in a prity documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II, Claims 3-5 in the reply filed on 3/9/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1-5 are pending in the application and claims 1 and 2 are withdrawn.

Claims 3-5 are pending examination as discussed below.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements filed on 1/29/04, 4/22/05, 6/13/05 & 11/18/05 have been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Drawings

The drawings received on 1/29/04 are acceptable for examination purposes.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The

Art Unit: 1745

abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,258,480 (Moriwaki).

Moriwaki teaches a manufacturing method for a battery by preparing a material corresponding to the shape of the can and forming a cylindrical body by deforming. The sidewall thickness is subjected to press working to form a large thickness portion at the end portion and a small thickness. The press forming is done such that a distance between an outer circumferential surface of said large thickness portion and said central axis is equal to a distance between an outer circumferential surface of said small thickness portion and said central axis, and a distance between an inner circumferential surface of said large thickness portion and said central axis is smaller than a distance between an inner circumferential surface of said small thickness portion and said central axis (Abstract; Figs. 1 & 2; 6:40-65).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,933

Art Unit: 1745

Page 4

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith Walker whose telephone number is 571-272-3458. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

K. Walker

MARK RUTHKOSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER